

## **Clarifications & Update Since Submitting the Review**

I submit the below to clarify some of the points in the submitted signed review application in respect of the Cock Tavern Public House, 125 Kilburn High Road, NW6 6JH:

1. Page seven (7) of the review, incident dated Wednesday 18th March 2015. Line two (2) reads 'males smashed the pub up'. This should read, 'males smashed the pub toilets up'. This was mistakenly omitted by a typing error. One male was arrested for criminal damage and later charged with the offence.

2. The incident dated Saturday 3rd October 2015. Crime number is shown as 1913029/15. This is a typing error. Crime number 1922996/15 is the correct report. This report refers to a criminal damage. A television was damaged by a glass thrown by the suspect. The report was later closed due to insufficient evidence. Officers were told CCTV is only kept for 24 hours. No arrests were made in relation to this incident.

3.

**A)** Page seven (7) paragraph five (5) refers to an assault on Saturday 19th March 2016. I would like to clarify the following relevant points in relation to **crime report 1906706/16:**

**Victim Injury:** When writing the review there was some confusion around whether the injury caused to the victim by the door supervisor was by biting or a headbutt and there still is. The victim claims he was bitten, the door supervisor claims that he headbutted the victim in self defence. The report was classified as a GBH (serious injury) crime. However, after further reading of the report since the submission of the review and in order of transparency and fairness to the licence holder, the injury has been described as a, 'Cut to nose- Small cut to nose that has bleed' by the initial investigating officer. So to clarify, The suspect was arrested for actual bodily harm (ABH) and the crime report was initially recorded as such. However, it was upgraded to an GBH by the central crime management team. The door supervisor was charged with assault by beating, which is a lesser offence of GBH and ABH. However, the injury sustained is still nasty never the less. I have contacted the victim of this assault and he has kindly given me his permission for a photograph of the injury to be included in this application.

**CCTV:** As stated in the review application, it is again important to point out that there is absolutely NO evidence to suggest that the CCTV was altered or tampered with in any way by the Cock Tavern pub staff and/or management team. However, I did request a CCTV engineers report from Mr. Mendes to back up his claim that the break in footage was due to a fault. At the time of writing this update, I have still not been supplied such a report from Mr. Mendes or anyone

else. I have also asked for the officer who investigated this case, DC Enright for his opinion, and again I point out, this is simply his own professional opinion. I attach this email as a supporting document. Finally, I have referred to the outage of footage as a 'black out'. For clarity, in the report DC Smith actually refers to this as a 'break' in the footage not a black out.

**SIA:** I have submitted a report to the SIA about the door supervisor's actions on 19th March 2016. I received a response back (attached) which confirms that he had his SIA licence revoked back on 03/02/2016 for 'criminality'. The email suggested that he had returned his SIA licence to the SIA. However, during a telephone conversation with the SIA, they have confirmed that he has NOT returned his licence and that they had only written to him requesting it back. To date, he has still not sent it back. Therefore it is possible that this particular door supervisor had handed The Cock Tavern his SIA licence and had not informed the venue that it had already been revoked. However, the SIA advised me that they encourage all licensees to use the public SIA website and check SIA operative licence numbers before employing them. This way, licensees can confirm whether or not an operative has a valid licence.

#### **4. Contact from Mr. Rogerio Mendes (Current DPS)**

Since this review was submitted Mr. Mendes has engaged with police about rectifying the issues outlined in this application. So far, the contact from Mr Mendes and the response to this review by the venue has been positive. On the 4th May 2016 I received the documents from Mr. Mendes sent via special delivery post:

**Copy of new security agreement** - Mr. Mendes has informed me that he has already employed a new door team. Door supervisors are now supplied by Alliance Risk Management Solutions Ltd of 2 Eaton Gate, London SW1W 9BJ. This change took place on the 7th April 2016. I have been supplied with an invoice and a contract between the Cock Tavern and the new security team. Mr Mendes has informed me that he has taken advice from the SIA about this change. I have contacted the SIA and they have confirmed that they have been supporting Mr. Mendes with practical advice and have advised him to check all his door supervisors licences and cross reference licence numbers on the public SIA website to exclude any officer with a revoked licence.

**CCTV Invoices** - Mr. Mendes has produced two invoices to me. The first indicates that on the 11th April 2016 a new (or used - The invoice is not clear) CCTV system was installed over a three and half day period. The second invoice shows that the CCTV system will be checked and maintained by a CCTV engineer three times per year. The work is shown being carried out by an 'A L Martins'.

However, In regards to any CCTV fault on the previous system, I have not been supplied with any evidence showing that there were any faults with the previous system.

**Vary DPS Consent Form** I have been supplied with a Vary DPS consent form. The form indicates that Mr. Rafal Gajdosz will take over as DPS from Mr. Mendes. I have also been supplied with a photocopy of Mr. Gajdosz's passport and his personal licence. I have checked with Brent council's licensing office and to date they have not received a vary DPS application form. I spoken with Mr. Mendes via telephone and he has told me that the consent form was sent to police for our information. He went on to say that the premises licence holder Mr. Aggarwal is handling the application and forwarding this to Brent council.